

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
1	-	No	None	Ugly	No	None	Ugly. No good to anybody except to make money.
2	EX34	Yes	Friendly. Less pollution. Will have to find another way to generate power.	None but will not be living close to it.	Yes	Community fund and cheaper electricity.	Don't want community benefit administered from Barnstaple. Would be great if North Devon could lead the way in advancing environmentally friendly everything.
3	EX34	Yes	Clean renewable energy. Less dependence on imported fossil fuel.	Negative public opinion. Nimbysism.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	Good luck.
4	EX34	Yes	Cut out pollution and nuclear.	None	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	A good plan for the future.
5	EX34	Yes	Cut global warming.	None	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	Anything that gets rid of fossil fuels is OK by me.
6	EX34	No	-	Blot on the landscape..	No	Cheaper electricity.	Don't even think about it. There must be other ways.
7	-	No	-	There will be massive numbers once permission is granted for one site.	No	Costs always rise. Grants are already available. Funds pay administrators first Can't restore the countryside once it is defiled.	Devon should concentrate on wave power to meet renewable energy targets.
8	EX34	No	None. The visual pollution counteracts any benefits.	Visual pollution in unspoilt area	No	Not interested.	Why do you persist in trying to ruin our gorgeous part of the world? Oh yes money!
9	EX34	Yes with reservations.	Free and readily available most of the year. Relatively cheap and easy to erect.	Unightly. Not convinced consumer will reap the benefit.	No	Cheaper electricity for those only in the area of visual influence.	Hope doesn't spread. Enough ugliness in the cities. The end never justifies the means. Are there any in South Devon?
10	EX31	No	None. Tidal and wave would be less obtrusive and more	Vision and sound, relying on the wind as	No	Not building them here would be benefit enough	Look at wave and tidal instead. Makes more sense than these ugly giants.

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
			efficient.	opposed to regular tides.			
11	EX31	No	-	-	No	-	Why spoil the beauty of N Devon when we have the Bristol Channel for power.
12	EX31	No	Unreliable weather therefore no benefit.	No	-	-	-
13	EX33	No	-	Sound and spoil views.	No	Grants for energy conservation, solar and water power	I pick up high-pitched sounds.
14	EX34	No	None	Visual pollution and noise.	No	-	Wind farms are to make money with no thoughts for the community.
15	EX33	Yes	Use available natural energy with no waste products.	Blinkered opposition to change. We have to move forward.	Yes	Cheaper electricity	Wind and wave power should be widely used in the future.
16	EX34	Yes	Inexhaustible, clean and no waste	None	Yes	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity. Too much money is wasted if it was a community fund	Totally in favour.
17	EX34	No	None	Eyesore and noisy. Previous application refused at great cost to local people.	No	Many West Down people spent a lot of money to throw out previous application and this one should never have been submitted.	If granted it would devalue property? Why not site them near your house? Why are you wasting taxpayers money again?
18	EX33	Yes	Not using fossil fuel and environmentally friendly.	None	Yes	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	Behind wind turbines all the way. Think they look quite nice.
19	EX34	Yes	Renewable, free and save carbon emissions.	Not consistent supply.	Yes	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity, community fund open to misuse. Grants for alternative energy.	We are living on the top of the adjacent hill but would be happy to see turbines. Why so big though?

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
20	EX34	No	Some reduction in atmospheric pollution.	Uneconomic. Viable only because of government subsidies.	No	The offer of community benefits suggests your admission that local amenities are being spoiled and this is an offer of an inducement (or bribe?). Such benefits will come indirectly from the taxpayer.	Wind generated electric power is, at best, a stopgap solution and not long-term policy. The USA has now abandoned many wind farms as being non-viable concerns.
21	EX34	Yes	Clean environment.	None	Yes	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	Sooner that it is in operation the better.
22	EX34	Yes	Free, will not run out, not damage the environment or anyone's health.	Not pleasant for those in the immediate area to look at.	Yes	Grants for energy conservation.	Better to do something now than wait for the energy source to run out for future generations.
23	EX34	Yes	None. Uneconomic.	No security of supply, ugly, noisy and uneconomic	Yes	What community benefit?	Without subsidy you would not be using wind power.
24	EX34	Yes	Environmentally friendly	-	Yes	Grants for energy conservation.	We cannot understand any of the objections to this site. . N. Devon should be please to be hosting this technology.
25	EX34	No	None	Sight and sound.	No	-	Depreciation of property value and blot on the landscape.
26	EX34	Yes	Taking nothing from the environment, no increase in pollutants, benign technology.	Any drawbacks are principally psychological.	Yes	Grants for energy conservation.	As a supporter of wind power I see only positive outcomes. I'm ready to accept any visual effects as a consequence of the way we live.
27	EX34	Yes	Free and environmentally friendly and not too unsightly.	None	Yes	Community fund.	With the amount of wind that comes of the sea I would have thought that it would have been done years ago.
28	EX33	Yes	Clean and renewable	May spoil views	Yes	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	We need to reduce emissions from power stations that add to global warming and I think this is one of the better ways of doing it even though

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
29	EX34	Yes	Safe and environment friendly.	No wind equates to no power.	Yes	Cheaper electricity. In a power failure could wind sourced power be directly switched to nearby residents?	they may cause eyesore to some. At last. Devon is desperately behind the times where wind sourced power is concerning. I hope this is successful.
30	EX33	Yes	Free and clean.	Noise and views for some.	Yes	Grants for energy conservation.	I think you are aiming too high. 10 turbines are enough and they will be too high for some people. Will the height mean less noise? Maybe aim for 10 more at either Berry Down or near Blackmoor Gate.
31	EX34	No	None	Noise and visual amenity.	No	At what price?	Other sources should be explored in depth before these.
32	EX34	Yes	Environmentally friendly.	People	Yes	Grants for energy conservation.	-
33	EX33	No	None	Visibility and small returns	No	-	This is the easy way out for a very modest supply of power. Continue looking at wave and tidal power
34	EX33	Yes	Free and no waste.	Visual amenity and noise.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	-
35	EX34	No	Main benefits outweigh visual amenity.	Spoil countryside with invasive turbines, eyesore and noisy	No	-	No reference to devaluation of property in the leaflet. Too high and may affect epileptic people or harmful to birds, bats or TV/radio reception. Want no change.
36	EX33	Yes	Natural resource.	None	Yes	Grants for energy conservation & cheaper electricity.	Bring on the wind and wave power.
37	EX31	No	Farmer/landowner of the site and manufacturer of turbines	Proliferation of turbines after the grant of permission. Minimal power	No	Not building them here would be benefit enough.	Wind is intermittent and unreliable whose energy cannot be stored needing conventional backup. Visually unattractive with a negative impact on a number of local qualities.

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
				generated for maximum disruption (visually and practically).			North Devon is a tourist attraction.
38	EX33	No	Profit to developers.	High cost and spoil countryside	No	Grants for energy conservation.	Horrifies that after all our battles against wind farms up they come again. Solar energy should be sponsored. Cornwall and Wales are already spoiled.
39	EX33	No	None	Ugly and spoil views	No	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	-
40	EX34	No	Cheapness	Inconsistency	No	No benefit.	Wind power has to be supported at all times. Wave power does not.
41	EX34	No	Natural resource that will not run out.	Blot on the landscape.	No	Community fund and grants from energy conservation.	I believe in using natural resources that are out of sight i.e. tidal.
42	EX34	No	Very little.	Noisy, ugly and spoil landscape.	No	Cheaper electricity.	Although other forms of renewable energy should be speeded up I may not be able to see the masts. I feel for the people who will be troubled by the sight and sound of them.
43	EX33	Yes	Non-polluting, renewable and natural.	None	Yes	Community fund.	Great idea. The wind turbines will look much more graceful than a power station or pylon.
44	EX34	Yes	Reduction of carbon dioxide emission – very low usage of unsustainable natural resources	Visibility and noise	Yes	grants from energy conservation	Cheers
45	EX31	Yes	Avoids use of fossil fuels except the fuel to make the turbines.	Injury to wildlife	Yes	Cheaper electricity. Spend the money on more wave energy system, much as the one off Lynton.	Went to the public inquiries at the farms at Crackaway and was astounded about the ignorance of the Inspector.
46	EX33	No	Next to none. Very	Visual amenity	No	-	Abandon the crackpot idea. Perhaps

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
			limited and narrow band of wind speed usage.	and noise.			Chequers would be a good site.
47	EX34	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	None	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	-
48		-	-	-	-	-	-
49	EX34	No	-	-	-	-	The future is using the barrage on the Severn & Torridge.
50	EX34	No	None. Spoiling the countryside to make money.	Noise but mainly eyesore.	No	None. Residents should pay for their heating bills etc from their income or cut back on expenses.	Do not spoil lovely Devon to make money. That is the real reason behind wind farms.
51	EX33	Yes	Natural resource and save fossil fuels	Noise	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	I would expect money generated to be used to invest in R&D to develop quieter and more efficient turbines.
52	EX34	Yes	No pollution.	None	Yes	Community fund.	The people who claim to care EX34about the environment will probably stop it.
53	EX34	Yes	Reduce air pollution and conserve resources. Free.	Visual impact.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	Why does over 60% of production need to be in North Devon? It should be equally shared with the rest of the county.
54	EX33	No	Free and green.	No use without wind so inefficient. Ugly, despoil countryside, noisy.	No	Community fund. Compensation to homeowners who experience loss in property value due to wind farm.	More research should be done into wave and tidal power as a constant source of energy.
55	EX34	No	None	Unightly, constant noise and detrimental to tourism.	No	-	There is no substantial evidence that benefits will be resultant from such projects.
56	EX34	Yes	Clean and efficient so long as is not too close it does not interfere		Yes	Community fun Grants for energy conservation. Cheaper electricity.	It is a good location with little effect on many people.

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
57	EX33	No	- with the environment.	-	No	-	I object because of the noise and the spoiling of a beautiful scenic view.
58	EX34	No	Wave and wind more efficient than turbines.	Everything, turbines are inefficient and ugly. Visual impact outweighs any benefits.	No	It would be more effective to supply the area with low energy light bulbs.	It is far too peaceful and beautiful, as last two inquiries illustrated. The only people to profit are you, Devon Wind Power, not the community or the environment.
59	EX33	No	It is a good resource but no benefit the way companies harness it.	Intrusive nature of turbines and low % of efficient energy produced.	No	Cheaper electricity. If local community are to be listened to, a meeting should be held and votes taken as to what is wanted.	Wind power is not a viable option but will go ahead regardless of what communities decide because it is politically motivated.
60	EX33	Maybe	Non-foreseeable at present (unless at sea).	Noise, blot on the landscape and ruins a beautiful landscape which is famous.	No	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity. Compensation for houses in the immediate vicinity where house values will drop. No-one lives near a wind farm from choice.	Why is Fullabrook the windiest place, do they have to be so huge or so many? They will cause devastation to the land over a wide area.
61	-	No	Useless, minimal output.	Economy of N Devon seriously affected. Tourism ruined	No	There will no little community benefit.	The money should be invested in off-shore and estuary H.E.P.
62	EX34	No	Clean and renewable when the wind blows.	No wind, no energy	Yes	Community fund, grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	We have dearest electricity in the country it will never be cheap. Wind farms at sea are the answer.
63	EX31	No	Personal financial gain of those installing/owning	Dubious efficiency, Marine wind	No	Grants for energy conservation.	Locally this would be unlikely to affect me adversely but I feel investment should be made in marine

**Appendix VII**

<b>Ref no</b>	<b>Post Code</b>	<b>Favour of wind?</b>	<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Good location?</b>	<b>Community benefit?</b>	<b>Comments</b>
			sites obtaining government finance.	farms proven to be most efficient.			wind and wave installations.
64	EX34	Yes	Saving fossil fuel. Stop air pollution.	Visible impact on landscape.	Yes	Community fund Cheaper electricity.	-
65	EX33	No and not AONB's	-	Disadvantages outweigh any advantages.	No	-	The benefits are not big enough to compensate for the detrimental effects on tourism. The ones on the Pennines approaching Burnley are an eyesore.
66	EX34	Yes	Green source.	Visual impact.	Yes	Grants for energy conservation. Cheaper electricity.	The country has to start using this form of green energy (so long as they are not connected to the grid by pylons)
67	EX31	No	Not worth spoiling the countryside so no benefits.	Spoils countryside and disturbance for nearby residents.	No	Grants for energy conservation.	-
68	EX34	-	-	Visual impact. Visitors from far and wide affected not just immediate vicinity.	No	-	This is the wrong area, too close to the coastal area of which too few are still unspoiled.
69	EX31	Yes	Non-polluting, no long-term harmful effects and good use of farmland.	Public opinion.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	If no-one objects to pylons spoiling the landscape how can they object to wind turbines.
70	EX34	Yes	-	Visual intrusion, noise, loss of habitat for wildlife and harmful to birds.	No	-	Visual intrusion, noise, loss of habitat for wildlife and harmful to birds.

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
71	EX34	No	-	Too erratic.	-	-	-
72	EX34	Yes	Stop pollution.	Visual intrusion.	Yes	Grants for energy conservation	They would blend in with the landscape if they were painted green.
73	EX34	No	-	-	-	-	Wave and tidal power is the best and less intrusive solution for alternative energy.
74	EX34	Yes	Reduce emissions for greenhouse gases.	Visual intrusion and noise.	No	-	North Devon has been singled out as an area of tranquillity. Please keep it that way. The turbines would be better out at sea and we should be prepared to wait for this to be developed and not spoil our own landscape in the meantime.
75	EX31	No	None	Many. As discussed three times previously	No	-	Three times we have fought wind turbines; ugly concrete and steel structures, useless and only profit for the developers.
76	EX33	Yes	Clean, non-depletion of the earth's resources.	Initial change in the natural landscape.	-	Cheaper electricity but for those within 1-2miles	-
77	EX33	Yes	Good for the environment.	Nothing	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	-
78	EX33	Yes	Does not contribute to global warming. Sustainable natural source.	Unnatural landmark.	Yes	Community fund	Regularly visit Wharfdale above Ilkley where the local population have happily accepted wind turbines in place now 2-3 years.
79	EX34	No	None here.	Unightly would you like one on your doorstep. Too noisy.	No	-	Being born and bred in West Down this will look almost as ugly as you assholes trying sell it.
80	EX33	YES	-	Maybe a little noise but we must not be left	Yes	Community fund	We have to chose some other form of energy and as it is cleaner than most, I think it is interesting.

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
81	EX33	Yes	Natural resource, which respects the environment.	Visual and noise but necessary for power generation.	Yes	Community fund grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	It is necessary to protect our fragile world which is under threat.
82	EX33	Yes	It is a natural, renewable resource that does not pollute environment.	Impact on the landscape	Yes	Community fund, grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity. It should obviously benefit those in the immediate vicinity. However, this was stated in early days of nuclear power as opposed to solid fuel power stations.	Consultation is the way forward but the “not on my doorstep” scenario will obviously be a hard obstacle to overcome.
83	EX34	Yes	Harnessing of natural sustainable resources.	Visibility of the turbines.	Yes	Community fund.	I think the benefits to the environment far outweigh any drawbacks.
84	EX34	Yes	No pollution	Visual	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	-
85	EX34	Yes	Sustainable and clean	Costs of production of units, % return on investment. NIMBY resistance. Need incentivising	Yes	Community fund, money to community transport schemes and educational installation for use by local schools. Renewable energy theme post Kyoto, the rationale of alternative energy etc.	-
86	EX31	Yes	Cleanliness and saving of the ozone layer	-	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	-
87	EX34	No	-	-	No	Cheaper electricity.	These wind farms are a blot on the landscape they are ugly and noisy. Wave and tidal power are a better option
88	-	No	None	Noise and a danger to bats and birds.	No	Community fund.	Atomic/nuclear energy is the only form that will save us from running out of energy when the oil runs out.

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
				Unightly and not enough energy generated.			They are a danger to bats and birds. Noisy. It is far too late to stop global warming. Don't trusty greedy people like you?
89	EX34	Yes	Inexhaustible and free.	Noise and visual.	No	Cheaper electricity and compensation to householders within 5-mile radius.	Siting in an unpopulated area such as Exmoor would be preferable. Since this would not be allowed why not consider wave power.
90	EX33	Yes	Clean and cheap.	Unightly	No	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	Wind farms should be located off shore where they will not affect the value of property or offend the eye.
91	EX33	Yes	Free and clean. No need to transport fuels.	Possible noise. Adverse visual impact.	Yes	Community fund and cheaper electricity.	Necessity being the mother of invention. Wind farm problems will only be solved if wind farms are built. Wind farms are only one step up to the answer to clean power.
92	-	Yes	No pollution.	Visual impact.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	Cost of construction and profit thereafter. Would not give financial benefits to users for many years. Cheaper fuel.
93	EX34	Yes	Cleaner environment.	None	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	I think there should be many more wind farms in Devon like there are in Cornwall.
94	EX33	Yes	Free and clean	None	Yes	Grants for energy conservation	The use of renewable energy is very important to protect the environment for our children & their children
95	EX34	Yes	Available everywhere so build farms in the country's ugliest places.	Destroy the most beautiful scenery in the country forever. John Prescott must love you	No	This is purely cheap bribery to people who will primarily move on. You will be able to use % choice without saying how many respondents you received.	Hope the application fails. I'm sure it is more to do with your profit than my benefit. All tourists do not site on the beach. I can't see your costs in the availability section.
96	EX34	No	Profit to Devon Wind Power.	Visual intrusion. Impact on	No	-	Damage the plan to safeguard the AONB's. 20 turbines will be visible

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
97	EX34	No	No benefits to North Devon just Devon Wind Power	-	No	To be given to local people who live around the area, e.g. a reduction in rates.	Totally opposed to any kind of wind farm. This is an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty The turbines would be an eyesore we can definitely do without.
98	EX34	Yes and no	In theory it is free but the obvious limits. Structures to achieve this are disruptive and expensive.	Huge infrastructure for limited results. Money should be invested in solar power	No	Grants for energy conservation. Better clean bus service, good high street shops not huge out of town supermarkets, small and local to help achieve targets.	This seems like an enormous short-term solution to try & satisfy targets. No doubt the developers will make money at the expense of the local people & the countryside.
99	EX34	No	Believe in its use off shore only because it offers reliable clean energy production.	Environmental impact of road widening and huge cost of installation.	No	Cannot think of benefit, electricity already cheap. Want financial compensation for the loss of value of home but this would not necessarily change my opinion as	Cannot bear the thought of hedges being destroyed to allow wind turbines to be placed. Birds are discouraged from wind farm areas, which changes the environment for

**Appendix VII**

<b>Ref no</b>	<b>Post Code</b>	<b>Favour of wind?</b>	<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Good location?</b>	<b>Community benefit?</b>	<b>Comments</b>
				Devaluation of area and noise.		the loss of hedges and nature cannot be compensated.	the worse.
100	EX34	No	None	Very noisy, North Devon is beautiful.	No	Only benefit should be to leave N. Devon alone.	Sea power does not damage wildlife and landscape.
101	EX34	No	Other means preferred.	Spoil the area for little gain.	No	No bribes acceptable compensation for this large scale blot on the landscape.	Withdraw this plan.
102	EX34	No	There aren't any.	Unightly spoiling our beautiful county.	No	Blackmail	No! No! No!
103	EX34	Yes	Use of natural resources.	Noise, devaluation of property.	No	Community Fund, grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity. Reduction in Council tax for properties within area of site.	AONB and tranquillity are an asset to this country and should not be invaded. Structures and noise would disturb ambiance of the area.
104	EX33	No	-	Not attractive to look at and not that efficient.	No	Community fund	I think that the right way to go is using tidal power of the sea because it is constant.
105	EX31	Yes	Clean, sustainable and free of charge.	Towers are an eyesore.	No	Cheaper electricity. Not in our backyard.	N. Devon is wholly reliant on tourism, anything that detracts from the scenery will harm tourism and therefore cause long term damage to the area.
106	EX34	No	None	Unacceptable to the public	No	We don't want wind farms whatever is offered	This plan is a blot on the landscape I have known for many years.
107	EX34	Yes	Less depletion of natural resources and environmentally friendly.	Certain people think they are an eyesore.	Yes	Community fund I think the only community benefit should be the knowledge that we are now helping in the fight against global warming.	I think this is a great proposal for Ilfracombe and can't wait for it to happen.
108	-	Yes	-	Visible and audible	No	Doubt if you could do anything to justify ruining people's idyllic	Too big and too many in an area of current beauty and tranquillity.

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
				disruption and inefficient.		homes.	
109	EX33	Yes	Clean air	NIMBY	No	Cheaper electricity.	-
110	-	Yes	On paper a green source of energy. The government will get the green vote.	Noise pollution, not volume but type of noise against rural background	Yes (for the wind)		As well as visual intrusion what about noise level modelling. This is always done for a new road.
111	-	Yes	Clean alternative, commercially proven.	Intermittent	Yes	Community fund	-
112	EX33	Yes	Renewable, less CO2.	Sight and noise greater impact than energy produced	Nowhere when it is in your backyard	Community fund and energy grants. Should be a government policy anyway.	Perhaps the government should be persuaded by us to encourage energy conservation, tax cars heavily, support public transport etc.
113	-	Yes	Less CO2 emissions.	Spoiling an unspoilt beautiful countryside.	No	-	It will affect the area for tourism.
114	-	Yes	Renewable energy.	Noise and appearance	-	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	-
115	EX32	No	-	Spoiling views.	No	Build more nuclear power stations, just as clean.	You gave a good presentation with your point of view.
116		Yes	Less reliance on fossil fuels.	Visual and noise impact.	Yes	Grants for energy conservation.	-
117	EX32	Yes	Renewable energy.	-	Yes	Community fund.	Will not destroy the landscape if anything it will give added interest.
118	EX32	Yes	Pollutant free energy source.	Visual	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	The introduction of wind farms. should be vigorously pursued.
119	EX32	No	-	Immense visual impact on landscape.	No	I believe nuclear power should be used much more extensively. A wonderful resource is being neglected.	The presentation at Barnstaple Motel almost convinced me but not quite.
120	EX38	Yes	Reduced CO2. local	Possible effects	Yes	Community Fund	Best of luck with application.

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
			generation, modern approach to current energy issues.	on property prices and possible issues with tourism.		Cheaper electricity.	
121	EX31	Yes	Environmentally friendly.	Visual	Yes	Community fund Cheaper electricity.	There is a need for the reduction in fossil fuels, which are not infinite.
122	EX32	Yes	Renewable, low impact	Visual	Yes	Grants for energy conservation.	Good luck.
123	EX32	Yes	Free fuel no emissions.	Noise	Yes	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	The sooner the better I previously lived in Marwood and still would have no objection.
124	EX31	No	-	Noise and visual intrusion for small energy gain.	No	Cheaper electricity.	Less efficient than wave energy.
125	-	No	-		No	-	Even James Covelock thinks nuclear is the only way to go to avoid effects of global warming, which may also change wind patterns.
126	EX31	No	None	Cannot see any gains.	No	-	I would rather not see this project started.
127	EX31	-	-	Visual intrusion.	No	-	Community fund equals sort of bribery. Benefits should be for everyone.
128	-	Yes	Renewable energy needed to preserve the planet.	Visual intrusion and Noise.	Yes	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	More local support if not such an ambitious scheme. Perhaps too many and too large in first instance.
129	EX33	Yes	Reduce use fossil fuels	-	Yes	Cheaper electricity .for everyone on grid.	-

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
130	EX31	Yes	Non-polluting.. Less vulnerable to accident than nuclear. Less intrusive than power stations.	Visual intrusion.	Yes	Chairman of Parish Council concerned to ensure that any community benefit is fairly distributed. Cheaper electricity seems to satisfy this requirement best.	Well-presented brochure and exhibition, helpful explanations, factual answers. Elective communication to parish councils essential at all stages.
131	EX31	Yes	Pollutant free.	Visual intrusion and noise. Still need overhead supply lines to national grid.	No	Cheaper electricity.	Why should North Devon pioneer extra large windmills? Drastically affect coastal beauty. Totally unacceptable from the sea. Tidal power should be pursued.
132	EX34	Yes	Clean	Noise and visual intrusion.	No	-	-
133	EX31	Yes	No CO2 emissions. Free energy source after set up costs.	Noise Visual Intrusion	Fullabook OK. Luscott no.	Not enough community benefit.	Too near residents for such huge turbines. We will be within 600m of one.
134	EX31	No	None	I have been around many areas with wind farms and can see no real benefits. Cannot contribute enough to the	No	Not enough benefits to counteract distress.	There are reams of comments on this card. He is a lecturer (doesn't say what in) and sounds in the know. Suggest you read the card!

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
				good.			
135	EX31	No	None	Environmental impact at all levels.	No	No comm. benefit would outweigh impact.	No further comments.
136	EX34	Yes	Non-polluting. Low cost.	Few	Yes	Grants for energy conservation	-
137	EX34	Yes	Non polluting Renewable	Public objections	Yes	Grants for energy conservation	People who work on the construction and maintenance should be local. Good luck.
138	EX33	Yes	Free and renewable.	Visual intrusion	No	Cheaper electricity.	-
139	EX34	Yes	Save energy.	Noise and Too large.	No	Cheaper electricity..	Will spoil area of natural beauty.
140	EX31	Yes	Saving fossil fuels.	None	Yes	Cheaper electricity and grants for energy conservation.	Essential for future prosperity.
141	EX32	Yes	Low cost energy for local benefit.	Visual intrusion	Yes	Community fund, grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	Cheaper electricity is good in principle but may set difficult precedents. Fairly thorough presentation but will be interesting to see final installation and how acceptable it will be after say 5 years.
142	EX33	No	Sustainable energy.	Noise, visual intrusion. Pollution, spoiling countryside.	No	None	Such a beautiful quiet area and such an unacceptable dreadful proposal.
143	EX33	No	Renewable energy.	Noise and visual intrusion.	No	None	Dreadful proposal for the beautiful peaceful North Devon.
144	EX33	No	Readily available energy.	Noise. Aesthetically horrendous.	No	None Nothing can compensate for spoiling area.	Unbearable proposal.

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
145	EX33	No	Sustainable energy.	Noise and visual intrusion.	No	Cheaper electricity. Nothing can compensate for spoiling area.	Unacceptable. It would spoil the North Devon area.
146	EX33	Yes	Renewable	None	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	Get on with it!
147	EX33	Yes	No CO2, SO2 etc. Clean energy.	Only produces maximum amount of electricity under favourable wind conditions. Visual intrusion and noise.	Yes	Community fund Cheaper electricity. Producing teaching packs for local schools to promote renewable energy?	Good start for N Devon produce its own electricity.
148	EX33	Yes	Free	Not constant.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	-
149	EX31	Yes	Reduce pollution in atmosphere.	Ruins areas of natural beauty.	No	Grants for energy conservation Cheaper electricity.	Plan is fine for better forms of energy generation but a disaster for the beautiful area and for tourism, which the AONB and Biosphere seeks to improve.
150		Yes	-	Ruins the countryside.	No	Cheaper electricity.	Wave power must be better, or Government. funding for solar to each home as you don't need the sun all the time.
151	EX33	Yes	Reduce emissions. Reduce use of solid fuels/nuclear.	Won't be enough of them.	Yes	Community fund Cheaper electricity.	Excellent. Bring it on!
152	-	Yes	Reduces need for nuclear. Reduces emissions	Visual intrusion.	-	Community fund	-
153	EX33	Yes	Once built lack of production costs.	Visual intrusion and being sited near some houses.	Maybe	Community fund, grants for energy conservation and Cheaper electricity.	Prefer wind to fossil fuels or nuclear.

**Appendix VII**

<b>Ref no</b>	<b>Post Code</b>	<b>Favour of wind?</b>	<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Good location?</b>	<b>Community benefit?</b>	<b>Comments</b>
154	EX33	No	-	Noise	No	Soft soap!	Noise does not have to be loud to interfere with ones life a general "hum" for 24 hours a day can be mentally harmful over a period of time.
155	EX33	No	-	Visual intrusion.	No	Bribes	Financial benefits to a few. Massive negative impact on community.
156	EX33	Yes	Effluent free	None	Yes	Community fund Cheaper electricity.	Feel strongly that objections to wind power based on visual effect are rubbish and should be ignored.
157	EX33	Yes	Free, clean and a point of interest.	-	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	-
158	EX33	-	-	Visual ruination of an area	No	-	No feedback on cost/return. Ruining one of our few beautiful natural areas.
159	EX33	Yes	Availability. Low cost. No CO2 emissions.	-	Yes	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	-
160	-	No	Clean	Noise and visual Intrusion.	No	Community fund.	-
161	EX33	No	Less CO2 emissions.	Noise and visual intrusion.	No	Cheaper electricity.	Better to wait little longer for more efficient ways rather than blight the countryside. Cannot say accurately how much noise will be generated in various conditions. Concern about effects on wildlife and grazing animals and horses.
162	EX31	No	None	-	No	-	-
163	-	No	None	Lowers quality of life.	No	You have no regard for the community.	Environmental rape. Go away.
164	EX31	-	-	Noise Pollution.	No	Bribes. Don't want them want to keep the countryside intact.	Strongly object to our countryside beineg destroyed for future

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
				Destruction of the countryside.			generations and us.
165	EX33	No	None	Noise	No	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	No financial benefit to N Devon residents. Deeply concerned at scouring of countryside. High noise pollution for minimal amount of electricity. Where are the overhead power lines going to be?
166	EX31	Yes	Reduce contamination.	Visual intrusion and noise. Impact on environment.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	Having viewed the computer display it would appear that the visual impact is not too great and noise should not be a problem.
167	-	No	Visual intrusion overcomes any benefits.	Visual intrusion.	No	Grants for energy conservation.	Visual impact in a tourist area will be awful.
168	-	Yes	Reusable, clean and free source.	Visual intrusion. Cause congestion with sightseers.	No	Cheaper electricity.	Should be sited where we were originally led to believe it would be - it now varies by about 2 miles.
169	EX34	Yes	Free. Reduce pollution.	Industrialisation of massive area of land.	No.	-	Government and. you should be encouraging solar energy, offshore and tide. North Devon is not industrialised with low noise pollution. Proposal will affect the area irreversibly as land will not be restored to its present status when the turbines are obsolete. Questionnaire heavily weighted in your favour not enough room for alternative suggestions.
170	EX33	Yes	Cheap	Visual intrusion	Yes	Grants for energy conservation and	Facts as presented not backed with

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
171	-	Yes	-	-	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	-
172	-	No	None	Visual intrusion and noise	No	-	Community would not benefit, this is an area of outstanding natural beauty. Try them in the sea I hear they are very successful by Lymouth.
173	EX31	No	To please Mr Prescott	Cost and benefit don't equate.	-	-	We would benefit more if we were left along. Spoilation of area of outstanding natural beauty.
174	-	No	Benefits outweighed by visual and noise pollution	Visual intrusion and noise	No	-	No benefit to local community only disadvantages. No right to accept this eyesore on behalf of this and future generations in area of beauty.
175	EX31	Yes	-	Visual intrusion. Detrimental effect on wildlife.	No	-	No.5 implies that the impact on the local community will be greater than you suggest. Wave energy is more practical and effective.
176	EX33	Yes	Sustainable	Visual intrusion.	No	Cheaper electricity. Noise control.	-
177	EX34	Yes	Renewable	Noise and visual intrusion on near neighbours.	Yes	Community fund.	
178	EX34	Yes	Clean and Safe. Efficient when	Visual intrusion and noise	Yes	Community fund.	Road ways around the site to allow better access to visitors.

Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
179	EX32	Yes	-	Like something from outer space.	No	-	Better out to sea. Stay out of Devon. Don't try and convince us that these turbines will be different from Cornwall where turbines have spoilt the countryside and they are much smaller.
180	EX31	Yes	-	Visual intrusion.	No	No community benefits.	Should be placed out to sea or on moorland.
181	EX32	Yes	None. On shore turbines far more damaging than beneficial.	Noise and visual intrusion. Monsters.	No	Community will benefit hugely if you leave us alone. There is nothing you could do to benefit the community to compensate for the lasting damage you will do.	What part of the word NO don't you understand?
182	EX33	No	-	Visual intrusion and Noise to nearby residents.	No	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	-
183	EX34	No	None	Expensive without subsidy. Power supply intermittent. Requires back up by conventional power station.	No	Grants for energy conservation	They make a few very rich for supplying very little.
184	EX32	Yes	Environmentally friendly. Combat global warming. Free, safe, clean, green and stunning to	Size, visual intrusion and noise. Relatively	Yes	Community fund, Cheaper electricity and grants for energy conservation. Some one off sweetener payment to	Green Councillor, Barnstaple Town Harangued in the street by an "informed member of the public", told we had "shot ourselves in the foot on this one".

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
			see.	inefficient.		those within? 1 mile of location.	Get North Devon Journal on our side. Charm offensive. Refute every counter offensive.
185	EX33	No	None with present generating technology.	Not efficient, wind forces unreliable.	No	-	Never be efficient due to the uncertainty of weather conditions.
186	EX33	No	None if the landscape destroyed.	Inefficient, noise and visual intrusion.	No	Grants for energy conservation.	Suggest if money spent on turbines was spent on energy conservation energy savings would be far greater. Questionnaire carefully worded to try to get the required answers. We are not all idiots in North Devon.
187	EX34	Yes	No pollution. Sustainable.	Unightly to some people.	Yes	Community fund	Having suffered from noise from a nightclub my only concern would be the effect to nearby dwellings.
188	EX34	Yes	Natural. Easily removed generators.	Nimbyism. Manmade items detract from natural landscape.	Yes	Community fund.	-
189	EX34	Yes	Wind will always blow why not use it?	Low wind equals low output. However, no turbine no output ever!	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	-
190	EX34	Yes	Reduce emissions.	Only operate when the wind blows.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	We are killing our own environment. We must utilise renewable energy.
191	EX34	No	Profit for companies like yourselves	Blot on local landscape. Noise.	No	No wind farm, then no benefit will be required.	Disappointed in choice of unspoilt location. Why not choose a location that is already spoilt?
192	EX34	No	Cheap	Visual intrusion.	No	Cheaper electricity.	Unnecessary. They make money for

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
				Danger to birds and insects. Adverse effect on tourism. Unreliable.			the owners but do nothing in the way of being green They are sold to the public as a confidence trick. Tidal power is likely to be a far better way of generating electricity.
193	EX31	No	Good for environment but not in North Devon.	Noise and visual intrusion.	No	Community benefit: don't build them in the first place.	Put them out to sea so they don't affect anyone.
194	EX16	Yes	Cost effective and clean. Saves causing emissions.	Height of turbines. Noise Wind may drop.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	Cheaper electricity may reduce opposition. I like wind farms. I think they are beautiful. I like them to be white - that is most important.
195	EX32	Yes	Environmental sustainability.	Nimby. Capital costs. Road access.	Yes	Cheaper electricity sounds too good to be true.	Would like conducted visit to a finished turbine. Cheaper electricity sounds too good to be true. Good luck. We are just down the road.
196	EX34	Yes, at sea	-	Visual intrusion	No	Grants for energy conservation.	Everything is about money. Leave the countryside along go out to sea.
197	EX34	No	None	Visual intrusion.	No	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	Not in Devon
198	EX31	Yes offshore	Non-polluting.	Visual intrusion devastating on the local community	No	The impact exceeds any possible benefits in terms of electricity generation.	Propose planning the turbines offshore, which is more acceptable and sustainable in the future. . The impact outweighs electricity generating benefits,
199	EX31	Yes	Environmentally friendly	Visual intrusion.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	-

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
			Renewable			Facilitate facilities for the children of Braunton and surrounding area.	
200	EX33	Yes	Clean	Noise and visual intrusion.	No	Community fund and cheaper electricity.	Other sources which do not spoil the countryside should be considered first.
201	EX31	No	Not a lot.	Unnecessary, unsightly.	No	The community is not looking for benefit.	Against wind farms in general. If they go anywhere they should be placed out at sea.
202	EX31	No	Not a great deal.	Devaluation of house prices and visual impact.	No	Cheaper electricity.	The turbine doesn't create enough power to pay for itself in its lifetime – what is the point?
203	EX31	No	Very little.	Visual impact.	No	Would not help local people but the wind farm would destroy their homes that they have worked so hard for	Whole project is devastating and very upsetting. You should put them out to sea where they would be a lot more efficient.
204	EX31	No	No need at all.	Complete devastation of the countryside.	No	-	Come and see the view I have now and then tell me if you would buy my house knowing the view you are going to end up with.
205	EX33	Yes	Environmental	The look of the landscape of the site used	Yes	Conservation of wildlife and the environment at a local level.	I feel that wind farms such as these using the latest technology are definitely the way forward now. In the future I think wave and tidal power.
206	EX33	Yes	No pollution.	Concerns for people closest to them	Yes	Grants for energy conservation	More acceptable than other types of power station
207	EX34	Yes	All aspects stated	Maybe noise.	Yes	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	Did a survey in Ilfracombe about 6 years ago and my views have always been positive.
208	EX31	Yes	Better for the future.	-	Yes	Cheaper for all.	Can I help?
209	EX31	Yes	Small pollution issues and renewable energy.	Visual intrusion and noise.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	Very good idea.

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
210	EX33	Yes	Low impacts on the environment, renewable, no co2 emission. Local provision.	Low wind and storm times shut down.	Yes	Community fund	North Devon is woefully deficient of energy production. This would be a start. Other sources need to balance, especially tidal. Quite probably the best site in Devon
211	EX33	Yes	Free and non-polluting.	Noise	Yes	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	Preferable to pylons and more stylish.
212	-	-	-	Visibly/aesthetically ugly and obtrusive, spoil the countryside.	No	Community fund.	Bought a house to get away from ugly views and to escape to the peaceful countryside. I am totally opposed to this site which I feel will spoil this beautiful setting.
213	EX37	Yes	Clean. Close a coal fired power station.	None – it's the nimbys.	Yes	Community fund, grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	No objection if they were installed on my land – it is the way ahead.
214	EX33	Yes	Reduce costs of providing power and reduce use of fossil fuels.	Visual intrusion and noise.	Yes and no	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	The main body of the turbines seem OK but we have concerns about the position of the 6 turbines (nos 1-6). I would be very concerned if I lived in this area. Do the locals have any final say?
215	EX31	No	Easy option	Money and tourism impacts. House prices dropping.	No	Cheaper electricity.	Situated in the middle of the wind farm proposal, should be kept fully informed.
216	EX33	No	-	Visual intrusion and noise. Limited production.	No	-	Ruination of one of the few peaceful areas of England. Significant population of people affected. Negative affect on local tourism. Tidal power system better from all views.
217	-	-	-	-	No	-	Arrogant attitude of group
218	-	-	-	-	No	-	How can you desecrate not only the land but peoples lives as well?
219	EX33	No	Reduced fuel	Noise and visual	No	Cheaper electricity. Community	North Devon countryside is the

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
			imports.	intrusion		benefits are no compensation	biggest benefit we have. Do not destroy it.
220	-	No	-	Visual intrusion and tourism	No	-	Wave power should be used plus modern nuclear power.
221	EX33	Yes	Economy, clean and little noise.	Height	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	Am in full agreement with wind farms
222	EX33	Yes	-	-	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	-
223	EX33	Yes	Non-use of non-renewable fuels. Little traffic required to service and no pollutants.	Possible noise and visual intrusion	-	Cheaper electricity.	Visitor centre would help tourist attractions to the benefit of the area
224	-	Yes	-	-	-	-	-
225	EX33	Yes	-	-	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	-
226	EX33	Yes	Reduce emissions and restrict use of fossil and nuclear fuels.	Visual intrusion.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	Equipment must be UK manufactured.
227	EX33	Yes	Cut down on greenhouse gases.	None	Yes	Community fund , grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	About time the people of North Devon woke up. We are now living the 21 <sup>st</sup> century and we need schemes like this all over the country. No objection and hope you get full approval.
228	EX34	Yes	Clean and renewable.	Noise and visual intrusion.	Yes	Cheaper electricity. State of the art visitor centre on the site funded by DWP similar to the Eden Project.	-
229	EX33	Yes	Clean and renewable.	Too high, visual intrusion.	No	Cheaper electricity.	More money should be spent on investigating tidal/wave.
230	-	Yes	Save fossil fuels and reduce emission.	Visual intrusion.	Yes	Community fund and cheaper electricity.	-
231	EX34	No	-	Noise and visual intrusion.	No -	-	Let one wind farm in and they will be all over North Devon like they are in

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
							Cornwall.
232	EX33	Yes	Renewable	Visual intrusion.	Yes	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	-
233	EX33	Yes	Sustainable	Visual intrusion.	No	To give the deciding yes or no vote. cheaper electricity.	How will this effect the election?
234	EX33	Yes	.	Not cost effective.	Yes	Cheaper electricity and road improvements in Braunton area.	-
235	EX33	Yes	Almost free clean energy.	Inefficiency	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	Construction will cause chaos on local roads.
236	EX33	Yes	Little pollution.	Visual intrusion.	No	Cheaper electricity. Lobbying to get a further relaxation of planning regulations to redress the decline in rural population and villages.	As before
237	EX33	Yes	Renewable and non-polluting.	Not enough suitable sites.	Yes	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	-
238	EX31	Yes	Non fossil fuel energy.	None	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	I am in full favour. Better than having pylons everywhere.
239	EX31	Yes	No nuclear power station and no fossil fuel.	None	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	Fully in favour of wind power and have been to at least two countries with turbines. Turbines are better to view than pylons and are very quiet even at close proximity.
240	EX33	Yes	Making use of the high winds in the area.	Visual intrusion.	Yes	Community fund, grants for energy conservation, cheaper electricity and grants for solar power heating.	Very good.
241	EX33	Depends . On shore bad offshore good	No CO2 emissions and renewable	Visual intrusion, noisy and intermittent – need back up source.	No	Community fund, grants for energy conservation, cheaper electricity and cash grants to those in the immediate vicinity.	Support small local schemes for renewable energy i.e. solar street lighting, small wind turbines on each house. More grants for energy conservation should be available for householders to generate their own renewable energy – this way the community comes on board.
242	-	Yes	Clean and hopefully	Noise	-	Grants for energy conservation and	I have never seen a wind turbine or

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
			cheaper.			cheaper electricity.	been near one, but I think there should be avenues for energy that are of no risk to life and are cleaner.
243	EX33	No	None	Everything	No	None	Not here
244	EX31	No	None	Visual intrusion.	No	None	Don't want them here. Build them out at sea.
245	EX31	No	None	Visual intrusion and noise.	No	None	Don't want them here. Build them out at sea.
246	EX31	No	No benefits.	Everything. Visual intrusion, noise Destroying the lives of those who live by them. Minimal efficiency and soon outdated. Nimby.	No	No bribery allowed.	Your resources are expertise would be better spent with exploring wave and tidal power rather than destroying the beauty and quality of life of the people of this county, living on an island surrounded by water, it makes no sense.
247	EX34	Yes	Clean renewable energy without pollution.	Visual intrusion and noise.	-	Community fund, grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	I would be interested to know if wildlife and conservation groups would be involved in planning.
248	EX34	-	Green does not use up natural resource and little residue to dispose of.	Impact on the natural and local environment.	-	Community fund	Would like to know more about other similar sized farms. It is difficult to be objective without relevant statistics.
249	-	Yes	Less pollution.	None	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	Sounds like one of the good ideas for a change.
250	EX34	Yes	Cleaner	None	Yes	Community fund and cheaper electricity.	Friendlier on the environment.
251	EX34	Yes	Non polluting (mostly). Local so no terrorist threats to power supply. Free energy after	None that outweigh the benefits.	Yes	Community fund, grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	Think wind turbines look lovely and I'm sad we're not likely to see them from where we live. I think all the anti-views make little sense and are stirred up by the nuclear lobby that

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
			installation.				stand to lose a lot if alternatives are successful. Good luck, I hope this goes through.
252	EX34	Yes	Clean, safe power locally.	Drop of wind, underground cable under livestock (magnetic hazard).	Yes	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity. Free cheaper electricity to properties within immediate neighbourhood of the turbines.	Any share option available? Which cheaper electricity provider will you be selling the energy to?
253	EX34	No	-	Visual intrusion.	No	Cheaper electricity.	Completely against this development in this beautiful area.
254	EX34	Yes	Cleaner	Noise	Yes	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	-
255	EX34	No	-	-	No	-	-
256		Yes	No CO2 emissions. No long-term pollution. A decommissioned wind farm will deliver a restored site.	Nimby attitude.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	-
257	EX34	Yes	Reduce fossil fuels etc.	Visual intrusion.	Yes	Develop the pier etc	Not being personally affected I am in favour as long as people's opinions are taken in to account especially the ones more local to the project.
258		Yes	For the environment.	For the local community.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	-
259	EX34	Yes	No fossil fuels.	The infrastructure in construction (too much concrete).	Yes	Community fund, grants for energy conservation, cheaper electricity and a visitor centre on site.	In favour of all renewable and sustainable energy sources. We must support all such projects.
260	EX34	No	Clean	Does not provide cheap	No	Cheaper electricity. – Funding shared. ownership social housing to	There is a need for a much less intrusive approach to renewable

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
261	EX34	Yes	Lack of pollution and an endless supply	energy, concentrates profits out of area. Profits arise from a source, which is free but provides no local benefits.	Yes	benefit local people who would like to get on the property ladder, but cannot afford to do so.	It is a pity that this project could not have come under public ownership by those in its catchment area i.e. those 55,000 populace it is to serve. Ownership by virtue of shares issued.
262	EX34	Yes	No chemical pollution. No use of non-renewable resources.	Nimby	Yes	Grants for energy conservation.	We are totally in favour of this proposed wind farm.
263	EX34	Yes	Reduction in pollution.	Public wariness of the unknown.	Yes	Community fund and grants for energy conservation. Would like to see an information/visitor centre Possibly in Ilfracombe with minibus tours through the area. Community fund administered by trustees.	Progress has to happen and this form of energy production is better than old methods which will undoubtedly continue to improve with time.
264	EX34	Yes	Cleaner and longer lasting source of energy.	People failing to understand the need for change in the modern world.	Yes	Community fund and grants for energy conservation	-
265	EX34	Yes	Clean	Nobody would want a turbine close enough to hear it is its very	Yes	Free tour of wind farm possibly, grant for double-glazing for anybody affected by noise of turbines.	Seems well researched – hope it works well for all.

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
266	SK13	Yes	Cleaner and safer to the environment.	Some people think them unsightly but so are electricity pylons.	Yes	Community fund, grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	Excellent idea.
267	EX34	Yes	Reduce pollution free fuel source.	Visual intrusion and noise.	Yes	Cheaper electricity and a visitor centre.	Go for it!
268	EX34	Yes	Cheaper, cleaner and greener	Complaints of noise, although I know it will be minimal and visual intrusion.	Yes	Community fund, grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	Great.
269		Yes	Free	Visual intrusion.	Yes	Community fund and grants for energy conservation.	-
270	EX34	Yes	Non-polluting.	Visual intrusion.	No	Community fund and cheaper electricity.	Towers too tall, the height of a 30 storey building.
271	EX34	Yes	The environmental and noise effect is a minus against the advantages of wind power.	Visual intrusion but only a deterrent in daylight hours.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	The continuing availability at an economic price of oil is always going to be a political weapon by (a) the producer or (b) the terrorist agenda.
272	EX34	Yes	Clean and free.	Noise possibly.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	This is very positive for the area. I have no problem with the visual intrusion wind farms can look very beautiful.
273	EX34	Yes	Less pollution.	None	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	A very good idea.
274		-	-	-	No	-	-
275	EX31	No	-	Noise and visual intrusion.	No	Compensation for loss on property.	This is a quite rural area that will be destroyed by having these wind farms.

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
276	-	No	-	Only 33% useful	-	-	-
277	EX31	Yes	Renewable	Cost of maintenance, the size of the turbines. How much material needed to manufacture them?	No	Community fund and grants for energy conservation.	Think turbines should be placed off shore. North Devon is the most beautiful, natural environment, why put up huge ugly man made equipment?
278	EX34	Yes	Electricity has to come from somewhere so why not use the wind.	None over coal, oil, natural gas nuclear.	Yes	Grants for energy conservation to promote energy saving for the home and business.	If we want the TV to come on and the fridge to work in the future then we have to do something now.
279	EX31	Yes	Offshore is good.	Visual intrusion.	No	Not to be fobbed off with any benefit.	-
280	-	Yes	Renewable, pollution free relative to conventional methods.	Some visual intrusion and noise close to the site.	Yes but more usual height turbines.	Cheaper electricity.	Huge turbines on one site give more profit to company developing them. Not against wind power but these are too big and out of proportion with the landscape. The turbines are visible from Exmoor, Dartmoor and the Branton biosphere. Effort should be put in to tidal power in this area – second greatest range of the tide in the world.
281	EX35	Yes	Non-polluting, sustainable, with a no hazardous legacy decommissioned (unlike nuclear).	Unreliability of wind and visual intrusion.	Yes	Cheaper electricity and reassessment of rateable value of properties. Compensation for reduction in property values.	-
282	EX33	No	-	Visual intrusion.	No	-	-
283	EX33	No	-	Visual intrusion.	No	-	-
284	-	No	None	Noise and visual	No	-	-

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
285	EX31	Yes	Free supply of energy.	Visual intrusion and noise. intrusion	No	Grants for energy conservation and cheaper electricity.	Much more sensible off shore.
286	EX34	-	-	Visual intrusion especially in a tourist area.	No		The questions are loaded so have not been answered.
287	EX31	No	-	Visual intrusion, disruptive effect on traffic. Unlikely to recoup enormous cost.	No	Community fund	Chivenor could not be reinstated as an airfield.
288	EX33	Yes	Clean but remain to be convinced.	Too intrusive for he so called rewards.	No	If this position is only one of two in the south west and so intrusive to the natural surroundings ans visitors who come here to escape technology – why is it worth it? Apart from business reward for those involved.	
289	EX33	No	Free but so is solar and water power.	Visual intrusion, reduction in property prices.	No	Cheaper electricity and compensation for impact on value of affected properties.	Research in to other potential sources of energy should be prioritised. Use of electricity should be reduced. Grants for solar energy installations should be available. Any wind farms should be far removed from key tourist areas.
290	EX31	Yes	Decreased use of fossil fuels	Siting	No	-	This proposal will effectively decrease tourism which will take away our principle means of income.
291	EX31	Yes	Make cheaper electricity.	Visual intrusion and noise.	No	Cheaper electricity.	-
292	EX33	No	In an non-contentious location wind generation could be	Visual intrusion.	No		Leave our landscape for everyone to enjoy. There are other suitable brownfield sites that could be used

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
			acceptable.				for this purpose. Why destroy this wonderful landscape that we all enjoy.
293	EX33	No	None – hydro generation is less intrusive as are many other forms of generation	Visual intrusion	-	-	Let all communities contribute to renewable energy sources not just ours. Why concentrate this project on our environment?
294	EX34	Yes	Free fuel, combats the reliance on fossil fuel and the importation of fuel from abroad.	Noise and visual intrusion.	No	Community fund may not reach the affected parties. Grants for energy conservation may not be appropriate, cheaper electricity is small compensation for the loss of the beautiful surroundings. Some properties are in Conservation Areas; the installation of double-glazing may not be possible.	North Devon has a struggling economy that relies heavily on its natural assets to attract the tourist pound. The countryside and small villages are an important aspect of North. Devon, both to tourists and residents. General concerns at the noise level, shadows from the turbines, and environmental impact of producing and installing the turbine. Having visited a wind farm outside Rotterdam, where the noise levels are significant, it would be interesting to know why the predicted noise levels of this wind farm are lower.
295	EX31	-	Very few in relation to the environmental impact.	Visual intrusion, wildlife impact and complete alteration to the way of life in the area.	No	-	The energy created is so little in relation to the impact on the countryside and the lives of the community, this should not take place.
296	EX31	Yes	Cutting down on emissions and cheaper energy.	Visual intrusion in a tourist spot.	No	A waste of time.	Who is to benefit from all this the Government, a private company or the environment? Are these turbines efficient or not?

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
297	EX31	-	I find it difficult to see that there are more benefits than deficiencies.	Visual intrusion, wildlife, environmental impact, affect on tourism and the value of property will drop.	No	-	I would prefer more to be done to cut down on pollution and the use of electricity, low energy bulbs etc.
298	EX31	No	None	The amount of fossil fuels used in the manufacture of 243 cubic m of concrete plus the turbine will far outweigh any benefits from this form of energy. 500 tonnes of concrete is needed for each turbine.	No	-	Sheer vandalism of a beautiful part of this holiday area: with visual and noise pollution.
299	EX31	No	-	-	No	-	An absolute devastation of our beautiful countryside.
300	EX31	Yes	Safety	Spoiling the environment.	No	Cheaper electricity.	-
301	EX31	Only in places like the Orkney Islands.	Obvious but limited application. Wind energy has been used for thousands of years. Wind devices have always been abandoned when reliable source of	Unreliable output and contribution to energy resources, marginal at best.	No	Community fund, what fund? Grants for energy conservation have existed for years. Cheaper electricity, will this be by way of subsidy? My understanding is that wind farms are subsidised. There is therefore no community benefit. Anything else is just bribery.	Visual eyesore in an area of great natural beauty. Noisy. Unreliable supply. What is the subsidy for their construction?

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
			power becomes available.				
302	EX31	Yes	Offshore turbines wind has been used for centuries but always abandoned when other sources come into use.	Noise and visual intrusion in beautiful English countryside.	No	Community fund – what fund. Grants for energy conservation have existed for years. Cheaper electricity is bribery.	The visual impact is not suitable for this beautiful area of countryside. Noisy – the humming is totally unacceptable. There are no benefits locally only for Devon Wind Power.
303	EX34	Yes	Reduction of the use of fossil fuels thus reducing carbon dioxide emission.	Possibly noise.	Yes	Community fund	-
304	EX33	No	None. Many days not spent producing power.	Visual intrusion of 20 x 100 foot high turbines outweighs the small amount of power generated.	No	Grants for energy conservation.	North Devon is well known for the amount of rain falling throughout the year. Most of this falls on Exmoor and this should generate power through turbines.
305	EX31	Yes	Free	Amount of turbines needed.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	I am in favour of the Cornwall Hot Rocks Power scheme if it can work.
306	EX31	Yes	Clean and free	Effect on the landscape and noise.	No	-	This is an area of high landscape value as recognised at the last inquiry, bordering on an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty within a site of a heritage coast and national park. This will destroy the tranquillity of an unspoilt and beautiful place.
307	EX34	No	Very little compared with tidal etc.	The blight of the landscape	No	Purchase of full current value of property ruined by such schemes.	Disgusting and inefficient machines suitable for the third world. The proposal is an outrage.
308	EX31	No	None, for amount of land required and	Destruction of one of the most	No	Benefit will be not to build it and use less hideous system. Sea power	-

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
309	EX34	Yes	No pollution, free energy source and doesn't use up fossil fuels.	Can't provide 100% of the electricity required.	Yes	Don't think they should have any benefit. They should think about people who have to live next to nuclear power stations and be thankful their electricity is not causing pollution	There should be more wind turbines, as many as possible. They look quite interesting.
310	-	No	None	There are other alternatives including water energy. The questionnaire is biased towards wind power.	No	-	Huge turbines will ruin this beautiful landscape. The drone of the turbines alone is very damaging, but as always money profits are the main reason for the company's motive. Common sense seems to have disappeared this huge set of turbines will affect all our lives, peace and tranquillity. We will be very distressed and disappointed for all if you were to pass these plans. It will be our money you will spend, on something we do not want.
311	EX34	Yes	Less pollution.	None	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	I shall be 91 in July and will be pleased that the wind power could benefit the area around Devon.
312		Yes	Renewable energy.	Visual intrusion.	No	-	Three public inquiries have refused wind turbines in this area.
313	EX33	No	None	Too inefficient to justify harm to the environment by	No	-	Off shore turbines would offset higher installation cost.

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
314	EX33	No	Negligible	Visual intrusion. these monstrous eyesores.	No	Cheaper electricity.	Too many and too tall. Visual pollution effects too large in an area of unspoilt countryside. Although Fulford Down may not be protected, the visual intrusion will affect areas that are. Price too high for any possible advantage.
315	EX34	Yes	Unlimited supply of wind.	Needing to shut down turbines in excessive winds.	Yes	Community fund and grants for installing solar energy in homes	-
316	EX33	Yes	Good but not to the detriment of people's quality of life.	Noise and visual intrusion.	No	What good are any benefits?	We use our gardens; our visual gains are an absolute nightmare. Think of something else. Turbines are ugly. Not happy about this at all. Surely it is the farmer who benefits, certainly not the public. Delabole has spoilt Cornwall. It is so ugly. You propose bigger ones. Mad!
317	EX34	Yes	Renewable	Efficiency	Yes	This needs to be asked of those in the immediate vicinity.	I hope you are successful and the project continues to be properly funded. Rusted monstrosities would be awful.
318	EX33	Yes	Does not pollute the atmosphere or create waste.	Visual intrusion and noise.	Yes	Community fund.	Clean alternative power source. Cannot be ignored.
319	EX34	Yes	Low emissions.	Dependence on wind speed.	Yes	Cheaper electricity. NIMBY's be given the option to decline benefits on moral grounds.	Is this just a drop in the ocean? Would not nuclear do the job (viz a viz global warming).
320	EX34	Yes	No pollution and free.	Possible noise.	Yes	Community fund, grants for energy conservation are already available. Cheaper electricity and pro-active recycling of water/other items, solar	Give it a 2-year trial and assess – works very well in Norfolk and is a tourist attraction.

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
321	EX33	Yes in the right place.	Can contribute but not as green as you say because of the concrete and continuing use of power station to relieve (unable to read entry) The making of concrete is very polluting.	Inefficient, Noise and a large amount of concrete used.	No	-	Turbines are too large and too noisy in such an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. Narrow lanes too small to take materials to the site.
322	EX31	No	There are no more benefits with wind than wave/tidal power. Wind power had slightly less benefits.	Sight, sound, effect on the local economy and house prices and probably not cost effective in the long term.	No	-	There are two separate sites with quite a substantial area in between with the likelihood of more turbines in the future with the view to opening up the flood gates.
323	EX34	Only in correct areas	If placed away from dwellings and put on high ground to reduce the height needed.	Height and numbers. intrusion. The destruction of a beautiful area with construction and maintenance. Why not in areas already spoilt.	No	Compensation for the reduction of house prices.	Appalling waste of electricity should be addressed. All new houses should have solar panels to be finance by government grants.
324	EX34	Yes	Clean and cheap, save planet from pollution.	None. Provided people near by area compensated	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	I hope this scheme goes ahead without too much delay.

**Appendix VII**

<b>Ref no</b>	<b>Post Code</b>	<b>Favour of wind?</b>	<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Good location?</b>	<b>Community benefit?</b>	<b>Comments</b>
325	EX31	No	-	Not efficient to justify outlay. Other sources should be explored.	No	-	Other countries (e.g. Germany) have found wind farms inefficient and unsightly. We should apply our minds to using less power.
326	-	No	None	Noise and visual intrusion.	No	-	Produce little electricity and look dreadful.
327	EX33	-	-	Visual intrusion and noise	No	-	North Devon is a tourism-based economy reliant on visual amenity. This proposal would have a massive and detrimental affect on visual amenity.
328	EX34	Yes	Less pollution. Being clever enough to harness free power source.	None	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	Brilliant idea. Have seen Cornish turbines and they look stunning. Ignore the whingers!
329	EX32	Yes	Natural source of energy, free and plentiful.	-	Yes	Community fund.	Not necessarily detrimental to landscape.
330	EX31	Yes	Renewable and clean.	Very few, possible dangers to birds and bats.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	-
331	EX31	Yes to small scale but no to your plan.	Renewable but high environmental impact. High development costs and high cost to the consumer.	That wind farms generating over 50MW cannot be vetoed by District Councils. Need back up due to intermittency of supply.	No not of this size.	Energy conservation and cheaper electricity shares or opportunities to invest in scheme.	Concerned about possible impact on property investments locally I was planning to sell and now bighted.

## Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
332	EX33	Yes	No polluting emissions	Intermittent wind and cost of construction	Yes	Community fund and grants for energy conservation.	It could be a tourist attraction
333	EX34	Yes	Reasonably economic, clean, CO2 reduction, improve generation diversity.	Doesn't contribute to base load capacity and visual intrusion.	Yes	Community fund and cheaper electricity and good visitor centre with well-informed display material and staff.	Need well-informed, expert, diplomatic articles and letters to editor in local press to counterbalance much of what appears at present.
334	EX33	Yes	Clean and green.	Visual intrusion.	Yes	Cheaper electricity and compensation for homeowners if it is proved that house prices in the immediate area have decreased because of the turbines.	It is time that is country started to think about producing more green electricity. This is just a start. More effort should be put into ways of producing electricity from any source that is clean.
335	EX31	Yes	Lack of pollution and renewable source.	Uninformed local opposition.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	-
336	EX34	Yes	Saving gas emissions, not carrying oil long distances and eventually cheaper.	Sites must be chosen carefully, away from homes so no noise disturbance.	Yes	Community fund and cheaper electricity.	I can't see why people make a fuss about seeing these. We see electric pylons and TV masts every day and don't even notice them. So these will be higher, so what?
337	EX34	No	Drawbacks eliminate any benefits.	Turbine heights unacceptable within a tourist area.	No	-	Wind power may be suitable in countries with large expanses of unoccupied land but not in North Devon, a tourist economy.
338	EX31	Yes	Cheap green electricity	Large ugly turbines, adverse effect on tourism and locality including views across North Devon: Exmoor.	No	Community fund, energy conservation and cheaper electricity and compensation for loss of tourism revenue and reduction in house prices. Funding for Marwood Parish/School hall.	A better site is at Bratton Fleming: less impact on less people. Hydropower is more efficient and constant for which technology available in a few years (testing off Lymouth) less unsightly and contentious therefore more realisable.

Appendix VII

Ref no	Post Code	Favour of wind?	Benefits	Drawbacks	Good location?	Community benefit?	Comments
339	EX33	No	Onshore? None!	Visual intrusion.	No	This is a 'what's in it for me question' i.e. and effort to buy the public's consent. The county of Devon is not for sale	The erection of these monstrocities would be an act of vandalism and the vandals should be dealt with accordingly. A period at the stocks might be a good idea.
340	EX34	Yes	To combat global warming and rising sea levels and also dwindling national production of oil and gas, reduce carbon dioxide and caol emissions.	Noise and spoiling views especially in a tourist/holiday area of natural beauty. Concern for possible harm to wildlife.	Yes	Cheaper electricity.	I am in favour of wind farms generally.